1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3	
4	October 14, 2010 - 1:34 p.m.
5	Concord, New Hampshire
6	MHPUC OCT 22'10 Pm 1:23
7	
8	RE: DG 10-249 NEW HAMPSHIRE GAS CORPORATION:
9	Winter 2010-2011 Cost of Gas Adjustment.
10	Relation to the second of the
11	
12	PRESENT: Chairman Thomas B. Getz, Presiding
13	Commissioner Clifton C. Below Commissioner Amy L. Ignatius
14	commissioner range in regulations
15	Sandy Deno, Clerk
16	
17	APPEARANCES: Reptg. New Hampshire Gas Corporation: Meabh Purcell, Esq. (Dewey & LeBoeuf)
18	formation of the state of the s
19	Reptg. PUC Staff: Alexander F. Speidel, Esq.
20	Stephen P. Frink, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water Div. Robert J. Wyatt, Gas & Water Division
21	
22	
23	Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
24	





1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	WITNESS PANEL: JENNIFER BOUCHER	
5	DAVID GRANDE MICHAEL D. EASTMAN	
6	Direct examination by Ms. Purcell	5
7	Cross-examination by Mr. Speidel	10
8	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Ignatius	21
9	Interrogatories by Chrmn. Getz	24
10	Redirect examination by Ms. Purcell	25
11		
12		
13	WITNESS PANEL: ROBERT J. WYATT	
14	STEPHEN P. FRINK	
15	Direct examination by Mr. Speidel	26
16		
17	* * *	
18		
19	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY:	PAGE NO.
20	Mr. Speidel	32
21	Ms. Purcell	32
22		
23		
24		

1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
4	1	Winter 2010-2011 Cost of Gas Adjustment filing (09-14-10)	8
5	2	Tariff Pages 8th Revised	9
6	2	Page 19, 8th Revised Page 20, and Rate Calculation Summary	9
7	3	Supplemental Testimony of	9
8	S	Jennifer Boucher and NHGC's Petition to Suspend its Fixed	-
9		Price Option Program for Winter 2010-2011 (09-30-10)	
10	4	Updated Cost of Gas Filing for	9
11	±	the Winter 2010-2011 Period (10-12-10)	9
12		(10 12 10)	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	PROCEEDING
2	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Good afternoon,
3	everyone. We'll open the hearing in Docket DG 10-249. On
4	September 15, 2010, New Hampshire Gas Corporation filed
5	revisions to its tariff for cost of gas rates for the
6	winter period November 1, 2010 through April 30, 2011.
7	The proposed rate is \$1.5025 per therm, a 1.25 cents per
8	therm increase from last winter. The Company also
9	proposed a \$1.5225 per therm rate for its Fixed Price
10	Option Program. We issued an order of notice on
11	September 20th setting the hearing for today.
12	Can we take appearances please.
13	MS. PURCELL: Good afternoon,
14	Commissioners. Meabh Purcell, from Dewey & LeBoeuf, in
15	Boston, representing New Hampshire Gas Corporation.
16	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning or, good
17	afternoon.
18	MR. SPEIDEL: Yes. Good afternoon,
19	Commissioners. Alex Speidel, for the Staff of the
20	Commission. And, I have with me Bob Wyatt, and Steve
21	Frink has just stepped out for a moment, I believe.
22	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay.

MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Note for the

23

[WITNESS PANEL: Boucher~Grande~Eastman]

1	record we have the affidavit of publication. So, are you
2	ready to proceed, Ms. Purcell?
3	MS. PURCELL: Yes, I am. Thank you. I
4	have the original affidavit, though, actually. I just
5	gave you some copies. I'd like to ask the panel, the
6	three of them are going to take the stand as a panel.
7	I'll introduce them.
8	(Whereupon Jennifer Boucher,
9	David Grande, and Michael D. Eastman
10	were duly sworn and cautioned by the
11	Court Reporter.)
12	MS. PURCELL: Thank you.
13	JENNIFER BOUCHER, SWORN
14	DAVID GRANDE, SWORN
15	MICHAEL D. EASTMAN, SWORN
	MICHAEL D. EASTMAN, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION
15	
15 16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
15 16 17	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. PURCELL:
15 16 17 18	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. PURCELL: Q. Ms. Boucher, could you please state your full name and
15 16 17 18	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. PURCELL: Q. Ms. Boucher, could you please state your full name and your position and your business address for the record.
15 16 17 18 19 20	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. PURCELL: Q. Ms. Boucher, could you please state your full name and your position and your business address for the record. A. (Boucher) My name is Jennifer Boucher. I am the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. PURCELL: Q. Ms. Boucher, could you please state your full name and your position and your business address for the record. A. (Boucher) My name is Jennifer Boucher. I am the Manager of Regulatory Economics for the Berkshire Gas

- services did Berkshire Gas provide to New Hampshire Gas to date?
- A. (Boucher) The Berkshire Gas Company provides affiliate services to New Hampshire Gas Corporation. And, that will continue through the end of this month, and it will be transitioned as of November 1st.
- Q. Thank you. And, Mr. Grande, could you please state your full name and your business address and your position for the record.
- 10 A. (Grande) My name is David Grande. I work for the
 11 Berkshire Gas Company. My position is Manager of
 12 Operations. And, my business address is 115 Cheshire
 13 Road, Pittsfield, Massachusetts.
- Q. Thank you. And, Mr. Eastman, please state your full name and your title and your business address.

17

18

19

- A. (Eastman) Yes. My name is Michael D. Eastman. I'm the Vice President of Gas Operations for New York State Electric & Gas and Rochester Gas & Electric. My business address is 89 East Avenue, Rochester, New York.
- Q. Thank you. And, can you explain, after November 1st, whether, you know, with the services that you will be providing for New Hampshire Gas Corporation?
- 24 A. (Eastman) Yes. Commencing November 1st, New York State

```
1
          Electric & Gas will begin daily oversight of the field
 2
          operations for New Hampshire Gas. And, we will provide
 3
          affiliate services for all aspects of the business,
 4
          from day-to-day operations to supply to customer
 5
          service, billing, gas control. And, these services are
 6
          similar to, you know, when we first purchased the Keene
 7
          Gas Company, New Hampshire Gas, in 1998.
          basically transitioning back to provide those same
 8
 9
          services.
10
          Thank you. Ms. Boucher, I'm going to mark -- premark
     Q.
11
          some exhibits all at the same time. And, Ms. Boucher,
          did you submit the proposed CGA rate on behalf of New
12
13
          Hampshire Gas for the period November 1, 2010 to
14
          April 30th, 2011?
15
          (Boucher) Yes, I did.
     Α.
16
     Q.
          Would you please confirm that this is a copy of that
17
          filing?
18
     Α.
          (Boucher) That's a copy of the original filing in
19
          September.
20
                         MS. PURCELL:
                                       Thank you.
                                                   I'd like to
21
       mark the original filing as "New Hampshire Gas Exhibit 1".
22
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: And, that's under cover
```

That's correct.

MS. PURCELL:

23

24

letter of September 14?

[WITNESS PANEL: Boucher~Grande~Eastman]

1		CHAIRMAN GETZ: It's so marked.
2		(The document, as described, was
3		herewith marked as Exhibit 1 for
4		identification.)
5		MR. SPEIDEL: If I may interject,
6	Coi	mmissioners. Shall we swear the witnesses at this point
7	or	later?
8		MS. PURCELL: They have been sworn.
9		MR. SPEIDEL: Oh, they have been sworn.
10	Ι'1	m sorry. Thank you.
11	BY M	S. PURCELL:
12	Q.	I'd also like to mark as "New Hampshire Gas Exhibit 2",
13		I'm going to show you a copy of tariff revised 8th
14		Revised Page 19 that was submitted under cover letter
15		of the same cover letter of September 14th, and ask
16		that you identify this document.
17	Α.	(Boucher) Yes. These are the new delivery rate tariffs
18		that were submitted on September 14th.
19	Q.	Okay. And, as "New Hampshire Gas Exhibit Number 3",
20		I'm going to show you a cover letter dated
21		September 30th, and ask you to identify this for the
22		record.
23	Α.	(Boucher) This is the September 30th letter where the
24		Company is requesting to suspend its FPO Program for

- [WITNESS PANEL: Boucher~Grande~Eastman] 1 the upcoming winter. 2 Q. Thank you. And, finally, showing you a cover letter 3 dated October 12th, and ask you to identify that. (Boucher) This is the revised cost of gas filing that 4 Α. 5 was submitted earlier this week. 6 MS. PURCELL: Thank you. And, this 7 would be "New Hampshire Gas Exhibit 4". 8 Okay. Exhibits 1 CHAIRMAN GETZ: 9 through 4 are marked for identification as described by 10 Ms. Purcell. 11 MS. PURCELL: Thank you. (The documents, as described, were 12 13 herewith marked as **Exhibits 2, 3,** and **4**, 14 respectively, for identification.) 15 BY MS. PURCELL: 16 Q. Ms. Boucher, were these documents, exhibits marked 17 "Exhibits 1" through "4" prepared by you or under your 18 direction and supervision? (Boucher) Yes, they were. 19 Α.
- 20 Q. Thank you. And, just turning to Exhibit Number 3, 21 which is the first Supplemental Testimony filed on September 30th, just briefly explain the reasons for 22 the Company's request to suspend the Fixed Price Option 23 24 Program this coming winter?

- 1 Α. (Boucher) Sure. In late September, the Company learned 2 that a disruption to the Enterprise Pipeline that 3 delivers gas to Selkirk, New York, service would be under embargo for the foreseeable future. And, as a 4 5 result, there will be increased commodity and trucking costs associated with deliveries this winter. 6 7 Company wishes to suspend the FPO Program so that these incremental costs are not borne by the Non-FPO 8 9 customers.
 - Q. Thank you. And, so, therefore, just to -- just to summarize, in Exhibit 4, which we filed on October 12th, the proposed CGA rate is now a single CGA rate, instead of a FPO and a Non-FPO rate which was filed in the initial filing, is that correct?
 - A. (Boucher) That's correct.

16 MS. PURCELL: I have nothing further at this time. The panel is available for questions.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Mr. Speidel.

MR. SPEIDEL: Yes. Thank you.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. SPEIDEL:

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Ms. Boucher, if you don't mind, I'll ask a series of clarifying questions regarding the suspension of the FPO Program. In the petition and your supporting

testimony, you explain why the Company is requesting
that the FPO Program should be suspended for this
winter period. First, do the circumstances that cause
the Company to make this request, specifically, the
shutdown of the 165-mile segment of the Enterprise TE
Pipeline to the Selkirk, New York, terminal still exist
today?

A. (Boucher) Yes. That embargo is still in effect today, and is expected to be in effect through mid December.

- Q. Thank you. Are there any updates or changes to your initial request to suspend the Fixed Price Option

 Program for this winter period?
- A. (Boucher) There are no new changes to that request.
- Q. Has there been any local press coverage regarding the Selkirk terminal closing and its potential impact on regional propane supplies and prices?
- A. (Boucher) My understanding is that there was notification in the Keene newspaper, which I believe is the Keene Sentinel, which described the potential propane outage this winter. That's my only understanding of news coverage. The Company did send a letter to each of its customers explaining the issue this winter, explaining its petition to suspend the FPO Program. And, so, customers were specifically notified

1 by the Company of this.

- Q. Thank you. And, just for clarification, when was the letter notifying customers of the Company's request to suspend the FPO Program mailed?
 - A. (Boucher) They were mailed on, I believe, the 3rd and the 4th of October, the previous Monday and Tuesday of last week.
- Q. Thank you. What has been the customer response to the letter?
 - A. (Boucher) The Company has only received four calls from customers in response to the letter. None of the customers indicated any discontent with not offering the FPO Program. Their primary concern was that there would be propane available to provide heat for them this winter. And, the Company reassured them that they have plans in place to be sure that that takes place.
 - Q. Thank you. If, in theory, the Commission were to reject the Company's request to suspend the FPO

 Program, and set the FPO rate at two cents per therm above the proposed revised cost of gas rate, what would be the cost and time necessary to notify and enroll customers prior to November the 1st?
 - A. (Boucher) I think it would be very difficult for the Company to make that happen before November 1st. There

would probably be a lead time of about a week to mail
the letters, and then we would have to end the
subscription into the winter period.

- Q. Thank you. Compared to prior years, is there a greater risk that an FPO rate set at two cents above the proposed revised cost of gas rate will not reflect the cost to serve FPO participants?
- A. (Boucher) Yes. That's exactly true. Because of the embargo, there are already lines at the closest point on the pipeline, which is Watkins Glen, New York.

 There are already waits of 12 hours for trucks to load propane. And, there are also waits for rail cars.

 And, the detention time, along with the additional length of transportation, are definitely increasing costs to customers.
- Q. Thank you. This sequence of questions relates to your Second Supplemental Testimony and the revised cost of gas forecast, which were filed on October the 13th of 2010. And, I believe that the specific date on the cover letter was "October the 12th". On Page 2, Lines 1 through 3 of the testimony, you state that "The updated estimated total cost of the forecasted propane purchases [for the period as] \$1,663,142." How does this figure compare with the same figure from the

1 original filing?

- A. (Boucher) The original filing made on September 14th had an anticipated cost of \$1,553,000. So, in several weeks' time, the estimated cost has risen over \$100,000.
- Q. Thank you. Has the Company seen an increase in the projected Mont Belvieu propane futures prices?
 - A. (Boucher) Yes. Over the last several weeks, that price has risen. And, initially was in the \$1.10 to \$1.15 range per gallon for the winter, and that price is climbing closer to \$1.30 per gallon on the futures. In addition, in the local spot market, we're also seeing increased spot prices above and beyond the Mont Belvieu price increases.
 - Q. Thank you. On Page 4, Line 7 through 17, of your Supplemental Testimony, you note that the Company still has access to its hedged pipeline volumes that are locked in at a price of \$1.3613 per gallon. But, due to the pipeline segment shutdown, there will be additional trucking requirements. Approximately what percent of New Hampshire Gas Corp. anticipated winter supply requirements have been pre-purchased?
- A. (Boucher) Approximately 65 percent of the winter sendout requirement are pre-purchased under this

1 program.

- Q. Can we see the Company's estimated impact of the additional trucking in Supplemental Schedule C of the revised filing?
- A. (Boucher) Yes, you can. On Supplemental Schedule C,
 Lines 4 and Line 6, which are November and December of
 2010, over to the right-hand side of the page there is
 a column entitled "Truck to Keene". And, the
 incremental cost of trucking from Watkins Glen, rather
 than Selkirk, is displayed here as approximately "23
 cents" a gallon.
- Q. Okay. Is that an estimate based on the time that the truckers at the terminal have to wait or are there other factors that feed into that incremental cost increase?
- A. (Boucher) There are other factors as well. It includes the additional 165 miles or so from Selkirk to Watkins Glen that the trucking company will charge, and it also includes approximately six hours of wait time per truck in that 23 cent per gallon figure.
- Q. Thank you. Based on the trucking cost estimates in this schedule, does the Company expect that the pipeline will resume normal operations in January 2011?
- 24 A. (Boucher) The Enterprise TE Pipeline has communicated

that it expects its testing to be done in early

December, and that the pipeline is expected to be back

in service on or around December 13th, 2010. And, that

is predicated on the fact that testing comes back

normal and they can resume service.

Q.

Thank you.

- Q. This schedule also, that would be Schedule C, this schedule also shows a slight reduction in pipeline fees during the first two months of the winter period. Is this decrease also somehow related to the disruption?
- A. (Boucher) It is. There is a different rate on the Enterprise Pipeline for delivery to Watkins Glen versus delivery to Selkirk New York, and that is reflected in this supplemental schedule.
- Q. Thank you. What is the projected bill impact on a typical residential heating customer for this winter period in relation to this disruption?
- A. (Boucher) I don't have the exact calculation based on the disruption. But the original filing, that did not include any incremental costs, was approximately \$1.50 per therm, and the revised cost per therm is approximately \$1.64. So, the impact is about 14 cents a therm. So, I would expect that that would impact a customer a little over \$100 for the heating season.

This sequence of questions relates to

- operations and reliability of the New Hampshire Gas

 Corp. system for the winter period. Have there been

 any changes in the Company's trucking supplier, on-site

 storage, or off-site storage requirements and

 arrangements since last winter?
 - A. (Boucher) The only change that has taken place is that, traditionally, New Hampshire Gas has an arrangement with Berkshire Gas to lease storage space at its

 Greenfield propane facility in order to store the gas to meet the seven-day on-site storage requirement.

 And, this year, because of the Selkirk outage, New Hampshire Gas is going to lease an additional 25,000 gallons of space at Berkshire Gas's Pittsfield facility, in addition to its normal requirements.
 - Q. Thank you. Does the Company's primary source of propane storage continue to be located on-site in Keene, New Hampshire?
- 18 A. (Boucher) Yes, it does.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. Has the Company experienced any changes to management or operations personnel at New Hampshire Gas Corp.

 during the past year?
- A. (Boucher) There are no changes to personnel or
 management at New Hampshire Gas Corporation itself.
- Q. Thank you. Has a closing date been set for the change

of ownership related to Berkshire Gas Company's

acquisition? And, Mr. Eastman might be willing to jump

in on this as well.

- A. (Boucher) I can start on that, Mike. The acquisition of Berkshire to UIL Holding Company is still scheduled for the first quarter of 2011. However, Berkshire Gas has been working closely with NYSEG and with representatives for RG&E, and the transition from Berkshire to the folks at NYSEG and RG&E will take place on November 1st.
- Q. How will this impact New Hampshire Gas Corporation?

 Does the Company anticipate any changes in personnel and/or operations?
- A. (Eastman) This should have no impact. The staff that's currently there continue to remain, and, though, we will, NYSEG and RG&E, we will provide supply services, purchasing the propane, delivery services. We provide the 24-hour gas control or monitoring of the critical system factors. We provide the gas operations and technical services expertise. We also have the ability to send, you know, up to 300 gas field workers in an emergency to take care of anything that may occur. So, we suspect there will be no change. And, you know, it's in our interest to continue to provide a safe and

reliable service to the customers of Keene, New

Hampshire, as we have been doing for the past 12 years.

Q. Thank you. Do you expect that there will be any impact

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Thank you. Do you expect that there will be any impact on operating costs in relation to the acquisition?
- A. (Eastman) No. There should be no change in operating costs.
- Q. Thank you. This might be somewhat reiterative, but will New Hampshire Gas Corp. still have an option to lease off-site supplemental propane storage from the Berkshire Gas facility in Greenfield, Massachusetts?
- A. (Boucher) The current arrangement that's being put into place for this winter will be valid through April 30th, 2011. And, after that point, I can't speak to whether that availability will continue.
- Q. Thank you. Does New Hampshire Gas Corp. rely on this supplemental storage to meet its seven-day storage requirement with the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission?
- A. (Boucher) Currently, the propane storage is an
 important part of meeting that requirement with the
 PUC. But there are other options for the Company.
 And, I'm sure that those will be investigated over the
 course of the next year.
 - Q. Have there been any contingency plans made at present?

[WITNESS PANEL: Boucher~Grande~Eastman]

1	Α.	(Boucher) I'm not aware of any other plans that have
2		been made at this point.
3	Q.	Thank you. Have the management services
4		responsibilities performed by Berkshire Gas been
5		transitioned to NYSEG to any degree?
6	Α.	(Grande) Yes, they have. I've been working with Mike
7		Eastman and his team from NYSEG and RG&E over the last
8		six to eight weeks to successfully transfer the
9		management of New Hampshire Gas from Berkshire over to
10		NYSEG.
11	Q.	Thank you. And, will NYSEG be providing similar
12		management and operations expertise as Berkshire Gas to
13		New Hampshire Gas Corporation?
14	Α.	(Eastman) Yes, we will.
15		MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you. No further
16	qu	estions. Thank you, Commissioners.
17		CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you.
18		(Chairman and Commissioners conferring.)
19		CHAIRMAN GETZ: Commissioner Ignatius.
20		CMSR. IGNATIUS: Very mysterious, isn't
21	it	?
22		MS. PURCELL: Getting worried.
23		CMSR. IGNATIUS: We were trying to sort
24	ou	t and reconstruct where things stand in this

reorganization phase. And, our recollections aren't as perfect as one would hope.

BY CMSR. IGNATIUS:

Q. So, maybe if any of the three of you want to describe, or Ms. Purcell to describe, the relationship between New Hampshire Gas, Berkshire Gas, and NYSEG, and whether any of the organizational changes happening November 1st are new transactions separate from things that have already been in place for a number of years and are finally being completed, or are they new transfers taking place? I'm asking a lot in one question, because I'm not sure, I don't want to put words in your mouth, maybe it's easier just to hand it to someone to give us, you know, a couple sentence overview of the transactions that are taking place.

MS. PURCELL: Yes. I guess, are you just asking for like a little summary of the proposed transaction between UIL and Berkshire and -- or a description of New Hampshire Gas, as it currently is, and how --

CMSR. IGNATIUS: Maybe start with New Hampshire Gas as it currently is structured, and is there a ownership relationship between New Hampshire Gas and Berkshire Gas, and an ownership relationship between

Berkshire Gas and -- excuse me, New Hampshire Gas and NYSEG and the other, UIL, is what you said.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Α. (Grande) Yes. I can answer that. As it exists right now, Berkshire Gas provides support services to New Hampshire Gas, and we've been doing that since 2003. So, we provide management services, for example, operational/technical services, HR services, accounting services, and gas supply. Prior to 2003, NYSEG, under the direction of Mark Cole, provided those services, same services that Berkshire provides today. Starting November 1st of 2010, the same management services that Berkshire presently provides to New Hampshire Gas will now be going back to NYSEG. Berkshire Gas is one of the companies that's being acquired from UI, and no longer will be under the Iberdrola umbrella. Hopefully, that clarifies your answer -- or, your question.
- A. (Boucher) I can further add to that. Just to tell you that the current structure is that Berkshire Gas, New Hampshire Gas Corporation, NYSEG Gas & Electric, and Rochester Gas & Electric, are currently all affiliated companies under the umbrella of Iberdrola USA. Under that umbrella, Berkshire Gas, for the past several

1 years, has provided affiliate services to New Hampshire 2 And, earlier this year, Iberdrola USA announced 3 that Berkshire Gas is being sold to a Connecticut utility. And, that acquisition is likely to take place 4 5 in early 2011. Prior to Berkshire Gas's involvement with New Hampshire Gas, NYSEG provided affiliate 6 7 services to New Hampshire Gas. And, those services will be resumed as of November 1st, in anticipation of 8 9 Berkshire being sold to the Connecticut utility.

10 BY CMSR. IGNATIUS:

- Q. That's helpful, both of you. Thank you. The status of
 New Hampshire Gas is -- the legal status of it is
 unchanged in this transaction?
- 14 A. (Witness Grande nodding in the affirmative).
- 15 A. (Witness Eastman nodding in the affirmative).
- 16 A. (Boucher) Yes.

20

21

22

- Q. And, will the services being provided by NYSEG be subject to an affiliate agreement or a different contractual arrangement?
 - A. (Eastman) Yes. There will be affiliate service level agreements, as there are today amongst the companies, yes.
- 23 CMSR. IGNATIUS: Thank you.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GETZ: I just have one

question. I guess maybe, Ms. Boucher, it's to you.

BY CHAIRMAN GETZ:

- Q. I guess it was the Supplemental Testimony, Exhibit 3,
 Page 4, and talking about the problem with the
 Enterprise Pipeline and the embargo at Selkirk, and
 then resulting in a trucking differential of 20 cents
 per therm. That, I take it, would be the premium that
 would be expected until sometime in early December,
 when the pipeline is anticipated to be -- to have its
 problems corrected, would be, and maybe you said it,
 but is it fair to say that, once that happens, then the
 20 cent trucking differential would be extinguished?
 Or, is there some chance that that could linger for
 some time because of contractual issues?
 - A. (Boucher) No. Once the Selkirk pipeline terminal is operational, the trucking charges should diminish to their previous levels, which was filed in the initial filing in September, which was about close to 7 cents a gallon is what that would resume.

I would expect, once the Selkirk

terminal is in operation, we may still see extended

wait times for several weeks. So, there will be a

little bit of incremental charges. But, once it's back

to full operation, which would likely be in January,

[WITNESS PANEL: Boucher~Grande~Eastman]

1	things would be back to normal as they have been in
2	past winters.
3	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Thank you.
4	Anything further, Ms. Purcell?
5	MS. PURCELL: Well, I just have one
6	clarifying question.
7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. PURCELL:
9	Q. The likelihood of it being operational again on
10	December 13th, that's exactly what it is, am I correct?
11	It's a likelihood, based on information that you've
12	currently been provided by the Pipeline. But there is
13	there is a potential that it could linger beyond
14	December, is that correct?
15	A. (Boucher) That's correct. The pipeline is currently
16	undergoing hydrostatic testing, this 165-mile portion
17	of pipeline is currently being hydrostatically tested.
18	And, assuming that everything tests well, their
19	indications are that December 13th the Selkirk terminal
20	will reopen. If there were if something were found
21	during this testing, it's true that it could linger
22	longer.
23	MS. PURCELL: Okay. Just wanted to
24	clarify. I have nothing further.

[WITNESS PANEL: Boucher~Grande~Eastman]

1	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Anything else for
2	the witnesses?
3	MR. SPEIDEL: No, Commissioners. If
4	possible, Staff would like to take some testimony from
5	Mr. Wyatt, if possible?
6	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Certainly. The
7	witnesses are excused.
8	MR. SPEIDEL: And, Mr. Frink as well.
9	Should the court reporter swear the witnesses?
10	(Whereupon <i>Robert J. Wyatt</i> and
11	Stephen P. Frink were duly sworn and
12	cautioned by the Court Reporter.)
13	ROBERT J. WYATT, SWORN
14	STEPHEN P. FRINK, SWORN
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. SPEIDEL:
17	Q. Mr. Wyatt, would you please state your full name and
18	business address.
19	A. (Wyatt) My name is Robert J. Wyatt. My business
20	address is 21 South Fruit Street, Concord, New
21	Hampshire.
22	Q. And, what are your position and responsibilities at the
23	Commission?

- 1 Commission.
- Q. Thank you. Did you submit prefiled testimony in this proceeding?
- 4 A. (Wyatt) No, I did not.
- 5 Q. Mr. Frink, what is your name and business address?
- A. (Frink) Stephen Frink. And, my business address is 21
 South Fruit Street.
- Q. What is your position and responsibilities at the Commission?
- 10 A. (Frink) I'm the Assistant Director of the Gas and Water
 11 Division.
- 12 Q. Did you submit prefiled testimony in this proceeding?
- 13 A. (Frink) No, I didn't.
- Q. Mr. Wyatt and Mr. Frink, what is the purpose of your testimony today?
- A. (Wyatt) It's to explain our review of the Company's
 filing, and our support for the proposed revised cost
 of gas rate, suspension of the FPO Program, and our
 expectations regarding the change in management.
- 20 Q. Please explain Staff's review of the filing.
- A. (Wyatt) Staff completed a normal review of the

 Company's initial filing, issued discovery, reviewed

 the responses, and followed up with the Company as

 necessary. Staff also inquired about the Berkshire

acquisition, and sought the latest information available about the transition of management from Berkshire to NYSEG and Rochester Gas & Electric from the Company.

We also reviewed the Company's contingency plans in response to the pipeline outage and the closing of the Selkirk terminal. And, its potential impact on supplier reliability during the winter period.

- Q. What is Staff's recommendation regarding the proposed revised cost of gas rate?
- A. (Wyatt) Staff supports the Company's revised cost of gas filing. We've reviewed the prices and the calculations, and determined that it's reasonable. The filing is consistent with what we've seen in the past. Their forecasting methods are similar to what they have done in the past. The Audit Staff has reviewed the results from last winter's cost of gas filing and found no exceptions. And, the results from this winter will be subject to reconciliation and audit review.
- Q. Mr. Frink, what is Staff's recommendation regarding the proposed suspension of the Fixed Price Option Program?
- A. (Frink) Staff supports the -- excuse me, Staff supports the request to suspend the FPO Program for this winter.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The Company carried out its normal hedging and supply planning. They locked in prices on the volumes to be offered for the Fixed Price Option. The cost to deliver those volumes are now forecasted to be much greater than was reflected in the original filing.

The FPO Program calls for the FPO rates to be set at two cents above the proposed cost of gas But the proposed cost of gas rate was based on rate. trucking from Selkirk. Once Selkirk closed, the transportation costs of regional propane prices changed dramatically, resulting in a significant increase of forecasted costs. To have offered the FPO at an artificially low rate could have resulted in a number of problems. First, Non-FPO participants would be subsidizing the FPO participants, as the FPO rate would not be adequate to cover the full trucking costs. Second, if the supply situation became public, demand for the program could have exceeded the available FPO supplies. Third, higher propane prices would only be absorbed by Non-FPO participants, meaning greater monthly rate increases, as the higher costs would be spread over fewer volumes.

One option would be to offer an FPO now, at two cents above the proposed revised cost of gas

rate. But, along with the problem of limited time for customer notice and enrollment, another problem of the plan is that there's greater pricing uncertainty due to the Selkirk situation. If Selkirk reopens earlier than expected, there could be a substantial decrease in trucking and propane costs, and FPO customers would not realize those savings. Conversely, Selkirk could be out longer than expected, and Non-FPO customers would wind up paying the higher trucking costs on those FPO volumes.

- Q. Mr. Wyatt, please explain Staff expectations regarding the change in management.
- A. (Wyatt) Staff plans to work with the Companies through the transition. We're familiar with NYSEG from when they managed the Company before, and we had a good relationship with them. They were easy to work with, and always forthcoming whenever we had questions. We expect the same from NYSEG this time around and from the folks at RG&E.

We do have some concerns related to the loss of Berkshire's or the potential loss of Berkshire's supplemental storage in Greenfield, Mass. That's been very beneficial to the Company in the past, during pipeline curtailments or long waiting lists,

Τ	even at Selkirk, which is not an unusual occurrence
2	during the cold winter months. And, this winter,
3	having the availability of an additional 25,000 gallons
4	from Berkshire, just reinforces our concern of the
5	possibility of losing that option down the road. We
6	will be working with NYSEG and RG&E to try to come up
7	with a solution that will assure supplier reliability
8	for New Hampshire Gas customers going forward.
9	Q. Thank you. Does that conclude your testimony?
10	A. (Wyatt) If I neglected to say earlier, the Audit Staff
11	did, I thought I said it, they did complete their audit
12	from last year's results, and there were no exceptions.
13	A. (Frink) And, yes, that concludes our testimony.
14	MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you. You may be
15	dismissed.
16	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Ms. Purcell, do you have
17	any questions?
18	MS. PURCELL: No thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN GETZ: And, nothing from the
20	Bench, so, you're excused. Thank you, gentlemen. Is
21	there any objection to striking identifications and
22	admitting the exhibits into evidence?
23	(No verbal response)
24	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing no objection,

they will be admitted into evidence. Mr. Speidel, opportunity for closing.

MR. SPEIDEL: Yes. Thank you. Staff appreciates the Company's proactive approach in dealing with the Selkirk pipeline disruption, both in ensuring adequate supplies and addressing price risks. Staff concurs with the Company's judgment in suspending the FPO Program given the current supply circumstances. Staff recommends approval of the proposed revised cost of gas rate and looks forward to working with the Company regarding the change in its management.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Ms. Purcell.

MS. PURCELL: Thank you. New Hampshire
Gas Company respectfully requests approval of the proposed
CGA rate to be billed to all of its customers for this
upcoming winter. The rate was calculated consistent with
the methodologies and procedure used in previous years.
And, the Company thinks it's reasonable. New Hampshire
Gas also requests approval of its petition to suspend the
FPO Program for this upcoming winter, in light of the
unique and temporary situation at the Selkirk terminal.

The Company appreciates Staff's efforts in this winter's CGA proceeding, and particularly appreciates Staff's assistance and cooperation in working

1	through the issue at the Selkirk terminal, which was very
2	cooperative and was appreciated by the Company. And,
3	thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN GETZ: All right. Then, we
5	will close the hearing and take the matter under
6	advisement. Thank you.
7	(Whereupon the hearing ended at 2:18
8	p.m.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2.4	